

# MRO- Moisture Resistant Overcoat Advanced Moisture and Damage Resistance for Pipelines

The Pipeliners Association of Houston Business Meeting August 26, 2024



**Strong** moisture-resistance + **Strong** damage tolerance + **High** flexibility





Combines the barrier attributes of historic high operating temperature systems with advanced ARO (abrasion resistant overcoat) technology

# **Comparison of FBE Based Systems**

Standard Single-Layer 16-20 mils (400-500µ)

FBE (green) Steel Pipe 12/12 Dual-Layer 20-28 mils (500-700μ)

Applied as a system FBE 12 ± 2 mils (250-350µ)

> MRO 12 ± 2 mils (250-350μ)

MRO (brown) FBE (green) Steel Pipe Standard Dual-Layer 40-60 mils (1000-1500µ)





Standard 3-Layer 70-150 mils (1750-3800μ)

### **MRO Abrasion-Resistant Overcoat (ARO)**

Dual Layer System	Application	Innovation	Protection	Temperatures
Applied as a dual layer powder system that provides an extremely robust protective coating to the outside of pipes.	Designed to be applied over fusion bonded epoxy (FBE) coatings on the exterior of pipes.	Features an innovation that provides superior protection against moisture and corrosive elements in the environment, while also providing abrasion resistance surpassing typical ARO coatings.	Provides optimum protection for the corrosion protection layer against both moisture uptake, as well as damage throughout storage, transit, construction and service of the pipeline, including during horizontal directional drilling and backfilling.	MRO is designed for service temperatures up to 150°C or higher dependent upon the base layer of corrosion protection which is applied.

# MROs – Benefits of 12/12 mils (250/250µ) System

	APPLICATION	PERFORMANCE			
•	Faster throughput (applies at single layer speeds) Fewer holidays "on the rack" Superior damage resistance for handling and storage	<ul> <li>Improved moisture barrier – less "steam jacking" in HOT systems</li> </ul>			
INSTALLATION					

- Improved damage tolerance (gouge, impact, tabor abrasion), reduced DCVG detectable holidays after backfill
- **Reduces installation cost** (less field repairs because of reduced mechanical damage, reduce the amount of padding required during pipeline installation)
- Higher intrinsic dielectric strength fewer "false positive holidays" on right of way
- More cost-effective field joints compared to three-layer systems
- Field joints coated with same dual powder system maintain **coating integrity of entire pipeline**

## **Application Characteristics:**

- At single layer speed
- Utilizes existing equipment
  - Same application booths as dual layer
  - Final DFT
    - ✓ 12 mils (300µ) FBE (green)
    - ✓ 12 mils (300µ) MRO (brown)



# **High Performance**

## Exceptional Cathodic Disbondment Resistance: 56 days @ 65°C

#### Long-term Performance:

- Elevated temperature, long duration CDT testing
- Illustrates demonstrable improvement versus similar thickness FBE without the MRO
- Lower water vapor transmission rates



FBE alone 20 mils (500µ)

FBE/MRO @ 10/10 mils (250/250µ)

## 95°C Hot Water Soak, 90 Days

#### Long-term Performance:

 Superior performance in long term wet conditions



#### **Improved Dielectric Properties:**

- Less moisture uptake
- Fewer issues with wet/dry sponge holiday detection (fewer "false positives")



### Damage Tolerance:

- 20-24mils (500-600µ)
- Flexibility over 3°/pd at -30°C
- Impact at over 3J at -30°C

#### 3°/pd @ -30°C

Sample	Mandrel	Coating Thickness	Result (Pass/Fail)
1	5.75	20-22 mils	No cracking: Pass
2	5.75	21-24 mils	No cracking: Pass
3	5.75	22-23 mils	No cracking: Pass



#### Impact Testing

Temperature	Impact Joules	# of Specimens	Holiday Detection	Result (Pass/Fail)
Ambient (25°C)	3.0 Joules	3	No holidays	Pass
0°C	3.0 Joules	3	No holidays	Pass
-30°C	3.0 Joules	3	No holidays	Pass



#### **Damage Tolerance:**

- Better gouge resistance than standard ARO
- Illustrates "compressive" behavior for HDD applications
- Better tabor abrasion than standard ARO





#### **Backfill Drop Test:**

- Raised rocks 10ft (3m) above pipe
- ~3in (~7.6cm) aperture
- Let them drop



#### **Backfill Drop Test:**

 Appeared as if there were holidays, however...



### **Backfill Drop Test:**

- Hand wiped away "pulverized" rock dust
- No holidays (NACE SP01888 @ 3000V)
  - $\circ~$  12 mils (300 $\mu)$  FBE
  - $\circ$  12 mils (300 $\mu$ ) MRO



## Field Flexibility Test:

- "Wrinkled" the pipe
- No cracks or holidays (NACE SP01888 @ 3000V)
  - $\circ$  12 mils (300µ) FBE
  - o 12 mils (300μ) MRO



## Whistler Project, Southwest Texas, USA



**Recent Update to Federal Regulations** 

49 CFR 192.461 (up to date as of 5/26/2023) External corrosion control: Protective coating.

49 CFR 192.461 (2023-05-26)

This content is from the eCFR and is authoritative but unofficial.

Title 49 — Transportation

Subtitle B - Other Regulations Relating to Transportation

Chapter I – Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Department of Transportation

Subchapter D - Pipeline Safety

Part 192 — Transportation of Natural and Other Gas by Pipeline: Minimum Federal Safety Standards

 Subpart I — Requirements for Corrosion Control

 Source: Amdt. 192–4, 36 FR 12302, June 30, 1971, unless otherwise noted.

 Authority: 30 U.S.C. 185(w)(3), 49 U.S.C. 5103, 60101 et. seq., and 49 CFR 1.97.

 Source: 35 FR 13257, Aug. 19, 1970, unless otherwise noted.

Editorial Note: Nomenclature changes to part 192 appear at 71 FR 33406, June 9, 2006.

#### § 192.461 External corrosion control: Protective coating.

- (a) Each external protective coating, whether conductive or insulating, applied for the purpose of external corrosion control must-
  - (1) Be applied on a properly prepared surface;
  - (2) Have sufficient adhesion to the metal surface to effectively resist underfilm migration of moisture;
  - Be sufficiently ductile to resist cracking;
  - (4) Have sufficient strength to resist damage due to handling (including, but not limited to, transportation, installation, boring, and backfilling) and soil stress; and
  - (5) Have properties compatible with any supplemental cathodic protection.
- (b) Each external protective coating which is an electrically insulating type must also have low moisture absorption and high electrical resistance.
- (c) Each external protective coating must be inspected just prior to lowering the pipe into the ditch and backfilling, and any damage detrimental to effective corrosion control must be repaired.
- (d) Each external protective coating must be protected from damage resulting from adverse ditch conditions or damage from supporting blocks.
- (e) If coated pipe is installed by boring, driving, or other similar method, precautions must be taken to minimize damage to the coating during installation.
- (f) Promptly after the backfill of an onshore steel transmission pipeline ditch following repair or replacement (if the repair or replacement results in 1,000 feet or more of backfill length along the pipeline), but no later than 6 months after the backfill, the operator must perform an assessment to assess any coating damage and ensure integrity of the coating using direct current voltage gradient (DCVG), alternating current voltage gradient (ACVG), or other technology that provides comparable information about the integrity of the coating. Coating surveys must be conducted, except in locations where effective coating surveys are precluded by geographical, technical, or safety reasons.

49 CFR 192.461(f) (enhanced display)

page 1 of 2

#### 49 CFR 192.461 (up to date as of 5/26/2023) External corrosion control: Protective coating.

49 CFR 192.461(g)

- (g) An operator must notify PHMSA in accordance with § 192.18 at least 90 days in advance of using other technology to assess integrity of the coating under paragraph (f) of this section.
- (h) An operator of an onshore steel transmission pipeline must develop a remedial action plan and apply for any necessary permits within 6 months of completing the assessment that identified the deficiency. The operator must repair any coating damage classified as severe (voltage drop greater than 60 percent for DCVG or 70 dBµV for ACVG) in accordance with section 4 of NACE SP0502 (incorporated by reference, see § 192.7) within 6 months of the assessment, or as soon as practicable after obtaining necessary permits, not to exceed 6 months after the receipt of permits.
- An operator of an onshore steel transmission pipeline must make and retain for the life of the pipeline records documenting the coating assessment findings and remedial actions performed under <u>paragraphs</u> (f) through (h) of this section.

[Amdt. 192-4, 36 FR 12302, June 30, 1971, as amended by Amdt. 192-132, 87 FR 52268, Aug. 24, 2022]

#### MRO system meets key attributes

- Moisture Resistance
- Flexibility
- Impact and Gouge resistance
- Synergistic with cathodic protection
- Low Moisture Uptake and High Dielectric Strength
- HDD Suitability
- DCVG/ACVG validation

# Award Winning

#### **Pipeline & Gas Journal Awards**

Best Coating/Corrosion Advancement Technology





Journal of Protective Coating and Linings (JPCL) Top Projects for Steel Coatings

#### WHISTLER PIPELINE PROJECT

PROJECT LOCATION: PERMIAN BASIN, TEXAS

CLIENT/OWNER: WHITEWATER MIDSTREAM, LLC (AUSTIN, TEXAS)

CONTRACTOR: STUPP COATINGS (BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA)

COATINGS SUPPLIER: SHERWIN-WILLIAMS PROTECTIVE & MARINE (CLEVELAND)

#### The Three Ps: Prevent – Protect – Preserve

- Building pipeline performance, one layer at a time
- Families of products to suit end user requirements



# **Fitting It All Together**

## **From Exploration to Transportation:**

A full portfolio of coatings for the oil & gas market



![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **THANK YOU**

### Dr. Jeffrey D. Rogozinski

Global Market Director-Functional Coatings jeffrey.rogozinski@sherwin.com 816-728-2781